

A Field Guide for Spectral Measurements of Australian Shallow benthic habitats

### Acknowledgements

This guide has been produced by Janet Anstee of the Aquatic Remote Sensing Group, CSIRO Land and Water (CLW) for the National Land and Water Resources Audit (NLWRA).

#### **Text and Layout**

Janet Anstee, Elizabeth Botha, Rebecca Edwards

#### Photography

**Field Assistance** 

Paul Daniel Dr Elizabeth Botha Dr Young Je Park Janet Anstee Christopher Gallen (DPI)

#### Published by

# Copyright/ disclaimer



# Contents

ACKING WEEDOEMENTS	4
COPYRIGHT/ DISCLAIMER	3
FIELD GUIDE FOR SPECTRAL MEASUREMENTS OF AUSTRALIAN	
SHALLOW BENTHIC HABITATS	5
INTRODUCTION	5
Importance of seagrasses and macroalagae	5
Brief background on spectral measurement & their application in remote	
sensing and mapping	6
Spectral Reflectance Data Collection	9
FIELD PLANNING 1	0
Step 1: Make a Timetable 1	0
Step 2: Tide Heights 1	1
Step 3: Permits	1
Step 4: Safety: 1	1
FIELDWORK PREPARATION 1	2
Equipment list 1	2
Site planning 1	2
METADATA COLLECTION & PROFORMAS 1	4
Metadata sheets 1	4
Description of each field + keywords to use: 1	6
FIELD DATA PROCESSING	20
SPECTRAL MEASUREMENT ASD COOKBOOK (QUICK 'N' EASY GUIDE) 2	20
UPLOADING/DOWNLOADING TO THE SPECTRAL LIBRARY PORTAL	20
References	21
Further reading	21

# Field Guide for Spectral Measurements of Australian Shallow benthic habitats

### Introduction

This guide has been designed to assist with the collection of reflectance spectra of seagrasses and macroalgae that grows in Australian coastal waters. The purpose of collecting these spectra is to fill in any thematic gaps that exist in the Australian shallow waters spectral library. To facilitate the spectral data collection, the NLWRA has purchased two ASD FieldSpec spectroradiometers (administered by Geoscience Australia). This document was developed to be used in conjunction with the ASD spectroradiometers and the assumption is that the operators have attended a training session in the handling of these spectroradiameters.

#### Importance of seagrasses and macroalagae

Seagrasses differ from algae in that they have seeds and fruits whilst algae reproduce with spores. Seagrasses also have separate roots, leaves and underground stems called rhizomes which form extensive networks below the surface whilst algae rarely have roots below the surface

(http://www.reef.crc.org.au/discover/plantsanimals/seagrass/index). Whilst seagrass has a growth-form similar to land plants, algae have a large variety of growth forms ranging form single celled plankton algae to large seaweeds that can reach lengths of several metres

Seagrasses are important in coastal marine areas where they provide a habitat for many, much smaller marine animals, some of which, like prawns and fish, are commercially important. They also stabilise sediment, helping to keep water clear. Algae are the primary producers that form the basis of most marine food chains. Seagrass and macroalgae often interact to form a complex habitat for many aquatic animals (Laegdsaard, 2001).

Insert references here for seagrass and macroalagae identification.

Due to their critical importance in coastal environments, monitoring the health and extent of seagrass and macroalgae in marine systems has to be undertaken at a habitat scale. In contrast to field data, collected from point samples, spatially extensive information, such as that derived from satellite imagery, can form the basis for quantifying species richness, abundance and diversity in a spatial context and is useful to assess change over time (Phinn et al., 2008). To effectively map coastal habitats from satellite data, there must be enough spectral differences between individual species or habitat groups to be discriminated by a remote sensing instrument. (Kutser and Jupp, 2006).

# Brief background on spectral measurement & their application in remote sensing and mapping

Most remote sensing instruments (including satellite sensors and spectroradiometers) are designed to detect solar radiation that passes through the atmosphere and interacts with features on the earth's surface by being reflected, absorbed and transmitted in varying degrees (e.g. *Figure 1*). Each surface feature interacts with solar radiation in unique ways, reflecting and absorbing light in different parts of the electromagnetic spectrum in a range of proportions (e.g. Figure 2)



could also represent a layer through the atmosphere or within the water column.

*Figure 1* Interaction of light with a leaf, showing reflectance, transmittance and absorption pathway



Figure 2 Example of unique spectral signatures, in the 400 to 900nm portion of the electromagnetic spectrum, of a number of substrates collected at Wallis Lake, NSW

Solar radiation can be measured in three basic ways:

- Irradiance: intensity of incoming solar radiation received by the target
- Radiance: radiant intensity that is emitted by the target
- Reflectance: the ratio between radiance and irradiance

Provided that basic measurements are consistent and the relationship between radiance and irradiance taken into account, the most important area of concern for us will be the consistent definition and derivation of reflectance factors (Jupp, 1997). It is thus vitally important to use a standardized protocol for the collection of spectral data to ensure that spectra are spatially and temporally similar.

The accuracy of multispectral image analysis and classification is reliant on the spatial resolution of the image being analysed. For example a sensor with a narrow field of view, positioned close to a target, such as seagrass, may measure only the reflectance derived from the seagrass. A lower resolution sensor that is focused on the same target but positioned at a higher altitude, such as a satellite, may have its field of view occupied by a mixture of seagrass, macro algae and other substrates (Figure 3). These mixed pixels present a problem for image classification because the signal that is measured is not representative of a single cover type. In order to accurately classify the substrate, these mixed spectral signatures can be compared to a set of pure reference spectra, often referred to as 'end members', which are measured in the field or in the lab. The assumption is that the spectral variation in an image is caused by mixtures of a limited number of surface materials. Each substance contributes to the spectral signature of the pixel proportionate to the fraction of the pixel that is covered by that substance (Lillesand and Kiefer, 2000).



Figure 3 Representation of how a combination of cover-types in a single pixelarea affects the shape of the spectral signal that is measured by the remote sensing instrument for that area.

#### **Spectral Reflectance Data Collection**

The need for the collection of a comprehensive seagrass and macroalgae spectral library was identified by Dekker et al (2006). In order to replicate the accuracy of sample measurements across locations, a standardized protocol for the collection of spectral library data is important. This protocol is based on:

- first measuring radiance from a Spectralon® panel at a set height
- then measuring radiance from the target at a set height and viewing angle in relation to the sun (e.g. Figure 4).

Each Spectralon panel and target measurement is derived from an average of multiple samples, and spectral reflectance (target radiance/panel radiance) is derived by the spectrometer's software and saved along with the location of the sample. All field based reflectance measurements should be adjusted by a scaling factor that represents the ratio between a pristine Spectralon panel and the field panels (Phinn et al, 2008)



Figure 4 Example of measuring panel radiance (from a Teflon reference panel) with an ASD field spectrometer prior to reflectance sampling of *Ecklonia* over black neoprene (image source: Dekker et al, 2003)

# Field Planning

The main objective of this study is to assess the capability and accuracy of mapping inter-tidal seagrasses using remote airborne and satellite image data sets.

Data and information gained from the field sampling program, together with collected simulated, airborne and satellite data, will satisfy the following individual objectives:

- Determine the separability of seagrass species from each other and from benthic micro/macro biofilms over a homogenous inter-tidal substrate surface.
- Determine minimum cover and cover change detectable in commonly occurring seagrass species.
- Determine the effect that sediment colour, algal cover and epiphyte load have on this separability factor and on minimal change detection.

Determine the effect of increasing water depth on change detection and seagrass species identification.

Mapping of coastal features from image data sets is complicated by the following factors:

- water clarity: increased water clarity increase the ability to map substrate features but decrease the ability to map water quality features
- water depth: increases water depth decreased the ability to map substrate features but increase the ability to map water quality features
- water roughness: increases water roughness reduces the ability to map substrate features
- cloud cover: unable to correct for
- cloud shade: reduce the quality but can correct for
- smoke: difficult to correct for depending on the thickness collection of end members

collection of validation data

#### Step 1: Make a Timetable

Create a timetable of times of departure and arrival back, and what the objective of the day is and what is to be achieved on the day. Give a copy of this to all participants involved in advance so they can make their arrangements to get to the site on time. List on this timetable what the participants need to bring.

#### **Step 2: Tide Heights**

**To help plan your monitoring**, be sure to check the tides at the following website: <u>http://www.bom.gov.au/oceanography/tides/</u>

#### **Step 3: Permits**

On-ground monitoring in some locations may require permits (e.g., Marine Parks). For a permit to be issued, there is often a fee required. You will need to check with local authorities (Parks and Wildlife or DPI) before conducting any monitoring program in marine waters.

Marine Plants are protected in Queensland, Australia. Collection of marine plants for educational, research or monitoring purposes is permitted in accordance with code MP05 of the Fisheries Act and Integrated Planning Act.

(DOWNLOAD: <u>MP05\_research\_VE1.pdf</u>)

#### Step 4: Safety:

Please read the following safety section before you begin any fieldwork.

- Have a Contact Person to raise the alert if you and the team are not back at a specified or reasonable time.
- Assess the risks before monitoring check weather, tides, time of day, etc.
- Use your instincts if you do not feel safe then abandon sampling.
- Do not put yourself or others at risk.
- Wear appropriate clothing and footwear.
- Be sun-smart.
- Be aware of dangerous marine animals.
- Have a first aid kit on site or nearby
- Take a mobile phone or marine radio

### Fieldwork Preparation

#### Equipment list

#### ASD FieldSpec spectroradiometer

#### <u>LapTop</u>

- Blank CDs for back-ups
- Memory sticks
- Laptop batteries, mouses & cables

#### Sky characterization

- Spectralon panel
- Camera & protective box
- Sampling equipment
- Eckman grab
- Black neoprene panel
- Zippered bags or clean containers to transport samples if spectral measurements will be done in the lab.

#### <u>GPS</u>

• GPS system & software

#### Field guides and metadata sheets

- A Field Guide for Spectral Measurements of Australian Shallow benthic habitats (this document)
- ASD cookbook
- Seagrass/macro algae identification guide
- Enough field sheets to record metadata for samples at each station

#### Site planning

Study sites are selected to cover a range of seagrass species, substrate colours and seagrass cover levels representative of the coastline. The study sites should be chosen based on discussions with seagrass scientists and resource managers with substantial experience in the study area and to match existing SeagrassWatch

(http://www.seagrasswatch.org/monitoring.html) sites where possible.

- Sites at each location should be deliberately selected in order to cover the maximum range in species type, density, epiphyte coverage, sediment type and percentage of benthic macroalage present.
- At each chosen location set parameters should be collected
- Field spec measurements will be recorded at a consistent height to maintain a 45° viewing angle and a ground area of

approximately  $1m^2$ . Field ID panel to be used at each location to record sample ID's.

# Metadata collection & Proformas

Metadata sheets

Fieldwork:			Operator(s):	-			
Date			Time (local)				
GPS & data			Time (UTC)				
Location Site			Time zone				
Name							
Site no			Site name				
Lat (dec. deg.)		S	Long	E			
Substrate:							
Substrate Types			Substrate				
			Specification				
Epiphytic growt	h		Substrate				
			density of cover				
Conditions							
Water type			Wind direction				
Solar zen./azi.			Horizontal Vis.				
angles							
Water condition			Water colour				
Secchi Depth (n	n)		Bottom Depth				
			(m)				
Cloud cover%			Wind speed				
Spectromete	r			_			
Spectrometer ID	)		Spectrum type				
Panel type			F factor				
Background typ	e						
Radiometry							
Radiometry		time	Filename	Photo ID			
Pictures							
Photos	Comme	nts					
Substrates							

Notes

Panel (Pif)

#### **Description of each field** + keywords to use: <u>Substrate:</u>

Substrate Types: Macro algae or seagrass.

**Substrate Specification**: common name and preferably scientific name. e.g. *Posidonia australis, Zostera capricornii* **Substrate density of cover**: Description of density of in situ coverage.

Keywords:

- Sparse (0-10%)
- Common (10-50%)
- Abundant (50-80%)
- Dense (80-100%).

**Epiphytic growth:** type of epiphytic growth e.g. Algal epiphytes (filamentous, or large fleshy macroalgae), Bryozoans, or spirorbid worms (worms). Include description of epiphytes on sample being analysed. Take a spectral measurement with epiphytes and a spectral measurement with the epiphytes removed (clean) if possible. Take detailed notes. It is important to note the amount of pure substrate visible.

**Density of epiphytic growth:** Percentage coverage Keywords:

- Sparse (0-25%)
- Abundant (25-75%)
- Dense (75-100%)

It is important to note the amount of pure substrate visible.

#### **Conditions**

Water type:

- Open ocean
- Coastal
- Estuarine

Wind direction: record if measurements are done in situ Solar zen./azi. angles: record if samples are not measured in controlled laboratory conditions.

- Zenith angle: roughly how high above the horizon the sun is at the time of sampling
- Azimuth angle: estimate from North

**Horizontal visibility:** This is a measure of the amount of atmospheric haze. It can be obtained from the closest airport or estimated by the operator.

Water condition: record if measurements are done in situ. Keywords:

• Calm (no wind and minimal waves)

•

Water color: Important for in situ measurements

Keywords:

- Blue
- Green
- Turbid

Secci Depth: record if in situ measurements are done Bottom depth: record if in situ measurements are done

**Cloud cover%**: Record if measurements are done in situ or on the boat.

Keywords:

Also indicate where the clouds are in relation to the sun (on the horizon or close to the sun) as this will affect the degree to which the cloud-cover influences the solar irradiance.

Wind speed: record if measurements are done in situ.

#### **Spectrometer**

**Spectrometer ID:** example: ASD 6166/3 **Spectrum type:** 

- Reflectance (radiance/irradiance)
- Irradiance
- radiance

**Panel type:** Type of reference panel used to measure sky radiance (Spectralon, Teflon etc.)

**F factor:** scaling factor that represents the ratio between a pristine lab reference panel and the field panels

**Background type:** What the sample was placed on for spectral sampling (e.g. black neoprene or white plastic etc.)

#### **Radiometry**

A description of the substrates measured at this station, the time when the spectrum was recorded (if done in situ or on the boat) the file names of each spectrum that was measured and a reference to any photographs that were taken of the substrates while spectral measurement was done. It is important to record as much detail as possible.

#### **Pictures**

Additional pictures and notes regarding the station (e.g. photographs of water colour/condition, sky condition, additional photographs of substrates sampled etc.)

<u>Notes:</u> Any additional comments (e.g. sudden changes in sky condition whilst sampling etc.)

Date	25/04/2006	Time (local)						
GPS & data		Time (UTC)	2:21:15					
Location Site Name	Green1	Time zone						
Site no		Site name	Green Island					
	14		(south side)					
Lat (dec. deg.)	16.76133 S.	Long	145.9706 E					
Substrate:								
Substrate Types	Seagrass	Substrate	Zostera Capriconii					
		Specification						
Epiphytic growth	sparse	Substrate density	sparse					
		of cover						
Conditions								
Water type	Coastal	Wind direction						
Solar zen./azi.		Horizontal Vis.						
angles								
Water condition	Some ripples and	Water colour						
	sunglint							
Secchi Depth (m)		Bottom Depth						
		(m)						
Cloud cover%	Mainly sunny	Wind speed	Light wind					
Spectrometer								
Spectrometer ID	ASD	Spectrum type	reflectance					
Panel type	spectralon	F factor	0.98					
Background type	Black neoprene							
Radiometry								
Radiometry	time	Filename	Photo ID					
Zostera capriconii		Green1.019	IMG_1419.jpg					
Pictures								
<b>Photos</b> Co	hotos Comments							
Substrates								
Panel (Pif)								

# Table 1 Example of completed metadata sheetFieldwork:Green IslandOperator(s): SP, SH, PD, MW

Notes: Zostera capriconii species identification has to be confirmed

### Field data processing

- ASD spectral data
- Metadata
- QA

**Spectral Measurement ASD Cookbook** (quick 'n' easy guide)

## Uploading/downloading to the Spectral Library portal

#### References

Dekker, A.G., Byrne, G.T., Brando, V.E. and Anstee, J.M. (2003) Hyperspectral Mapping of Intertidal Rock Platform Vegetation as a Tool for Adaptive Management. CSIRO Land and Water Technical Report 9/03, March 2003

Dekker, A.G., Brando, V.E., Anstee, J.M., Fyfe, S., Malthus, T. and Karpouzli, E. (2006) Remote sensing of seagrass ecosystems: use of spaceborne and airborne sensors. In: Larkum, A.W.D., Orth, R.J. and Duarte, C.M (eds.) Seagrasses: Biology, Ecology and Conservation. Springer, Dortrecht.

Kutser, T. amd Jupp. D.L.B. (2006) On the possibility of mapping living corals to the species level based on their optical signatures. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf science, 69: 607-614.

Jupp, (1997) Issues in reflectance measurement. CSIRO Earth Observation Centre Discussion document

Laegdsaard, P. (2001) A field guide for the identification of the seagrass and macroalgae in Wallis Lake. Centre for Natural Resources, Parramatta, NSW. 56pp.

Lillesand, T.M and Kiefer, R.W. (2000) Remote sensing and image interpretation. John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New York. 724pp

Phinn, S.R., Roelfsema, C.M., Brando, V.B., Dekker, A.G. and Anstee, J. (2008) Mapping Seagrass Species, Cover and Biomass in Shallow Waters: An Assessment of Satellite Multi-spectral and Airborne Hyper-spectral Imaging Systems in Moreton Bay (Australia). <u>Remote Sensing of Environment.</u> 112(8):3413-3425.

#### Further reading

Refer: seagrass watch Refer: reefcrc.org.au